App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 7. (Jaffna)

File No. 386-3

Serial Nos. 1-4, 6-

93-37-1.4.
11(1.37-1.3.
11(.37-1.2
10-31-26-27

Later References.

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7.

Part B for September 1941.

SUBJECT.

Construction of a Bungalow in Kankesanturai Subramanya in Jaffna District for the use of Anyone Government Officers.

No. 333 of 8-7-1941.
Mr Jaffna Rai Commissioner

WD 0578—GPB—22,500—12-9.39

Forwards a copy of the legal opinion and requests that the matter may be dropped in the circumstances.

Bungalow
15-.41

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(News)

NOTES.

File No. 356-38

FILE HEADING

Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subramanya in South Kanara district for the use of Mysore Govt Officers

FROM

The Municipal Commissioner in Mysore

No. C 1398/3738 Dated 2.6.1939 Received 4 6 39.

Diary No. 1583 | Enclos. | Spare copies | Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests sanction for the construction of the Municipal bungalow at a cost of Rs 4000 to be met from the Bungalow Sanctioning Account to Rs 4477 1 0 / and the same to be let out to the Govt of Mysore Medical Officer having jurisdiction over the area in the Subramanya Sanitary area.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Bungalow sanctioned on the scheme of 12000 people is evaluated every year [illegible] the channel Block Festival at Subramanya in the South Canara district, for about 18 or 19 days in the month of November or December, at a cost of Rs 3150/-. For details please see pages 5 & 8 of May to June The Amildar and the Sanitary Inspector, Kukke Subramanya, both in Kasaba-Mohalla have been informed to [illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet

Construction of a Bungalow at Subramanya for use of the Mysore Govt. Officers

the Mysore in connection with the conduct of the [illegible]. The Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District in which the Subramanya Sub-division is situated has hitherto permitted to visit the Mysore for the inspection purposes for not more than 15 days during the occasion in each year. Recently Government directed (in October 1938) that the Deputy Commissioner of the Hassan District was not to give permission to outsiders to visit the Mysore as the station is rather an unhealthy one.

(2) With a view to provide accommodation to the officers visiting the Subramanya the Trustees of the Temple have proposed the construction of a building (choultry) at a cost not exceeding Rs. 4000/- and towards meeting a portion of this cost they have proposed to give a sum of Rs. 1250/- at their disposal. It is understood that a portion of the building so constructed should be placed at the disposal of the Government officers when they visit the place. The choultry is the Government proposed to be executed by the Trustees of the Temple and also [illegible] by them (vide the letter dated 14.3.39 to the Deputy Commr.

(3) The Deputy Commissioner has represented that the building proposed to be put up at the disposal of the Government officers is quite unwanted

Serial No

for occupation by higher officers living there and that in a place like Lahaina where the Government should usually own many, there is real necessity for the Government building there. He has therefore proposed to construct a building at a cost of $4000/- to be met from the Sanitary, Maintenance and Repairs Appropriation exclusively. The use of the higher officers, and that the building to be erected by the Territory and Quarters may be known as Government Lahaina Officers Quarters.

(4) So far as the Lahaina Jail is concerned, [illegible] being rebuilt [illegible] he [illegible] the Jail Building [illegible] granted permitted to visit the place and his stay does not exceed 4 days in each [illegible] building is to be erected at a cost [illegible] excluding the [illegible] to be [illegible] use of such an Officer [illegible] in the year, some provision [illegible] for taking care of the [illegible]

NOTL—Contn Sheet

WD 0514—GPB—30 000—2 9-38

1583 Kuksse

Construction of a Bungalow at Subrahmanya for the use of the Mysore State Officers

File No. 386 of 1938. General and

annual
taxes and ~~by~~ repairs. The Municipal Commissioner has not stated what these sums are likely to be in these houses i.e. in ...

5) The Municipal Commissioner's recommendation is to be taken. The need for a building has been clearly established.

6) In order

21 JUNE 1939 SECRETARY 385

7) The first & last may be moved, through the Revising, in regard to the grant of the rate sch. Lev, Pre & Cost. The Plan & the Meeting of the Municipality may be obtained from the M.C, together with the rate & cost -

2 houses)

A.2392.

9 As directed in the covering letter

10)

11

TYPING SECTION 21 JUNE 1939 GEN. & TC. SECTT

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 29 JUNE 1939 656

Bungalow at F.

General and Revenue Secretariat File No. 3567/386 of 1938 [App. F. III—2

Serial No	
2	pol 5 dated 1.7.38
	The Secy to the Resident
	Requests that the Govt of Hyderabad may kindly be invited to use their good offices and arrange for the transfer of the site in question to this Govt. free of cost
	(13) [illegible]
	in the main? (14) [illegible]
	(15) A draft reminder to the Residency is put up.
	16 [illegible]
	[illegible]
	Regarding [illegible]
	Letter No R. [illegible] F. 423-38 D. 10/38
	From the Secy to the Resident
	States that a reply will be sent soon after the [illegible] from the Collector of R.S. [illegible]
	17 [illegible]
	18 [illegible]

TYPED 2 1939

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

D 0511—GPB—30,000—2-9-38

Law (Legislative) Department

File No. 1 [illegible] of 1938

General and Secretariat

Letter No. R.[illegible]-423-378, 26th [illegible] from The Secretary to the Resident, Pudukkottai, forwarding a copy of the order passed by the Madras Government in the matter.

(1) The order of the Madras Government is sent for perusal. It will be sent to the M.C. for necessary action and further report in the matter, if any.

(2) Reference: Para 8 Rules.

Action may be taken [illegible]

21/1/40

3/1/40

A draft to the M.C. is sent.

[illegible] 27/1/40

1340 dated 6/1/1940

The M.C. in Mysore

[illegible] for necessary action and report a copy to the Resident dated 11.12.1939 of the Madras Govt.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
3 JAN. 1940
415-8

Puk II

K

A.

Subs A

8/1/40

buildings belonging to the
Sri Subrahmanya Mutt at Kumara

and Revenue

My File No 386 of 19 38 [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

Petn. dy 28/2/40 from the Agent of Sri Subrahmanya Mutt, S. Kanara requesting that the Govt may provide a few buildings belonging to the Mutt for the use of officers visiting Mudabi

25 A draft forwarding the petn to the Dist. Comm for report is submtd for approval or other orders.

26/ Jun 1940

Petn for report

Letter No C13 dated 27.5.41 from the Municipal Commissioner - Requests that Lt. Mahomed Haider Sahib's Compound Bunder may be handed over to the Municipality for the purpose of widening the road

27 For the purpose of constructing a Bungalow at Sri Subramanya, S. Canara, for the use of the village Govt Officers, at the instance of the Deputy Commissioner the Executive Officer of the Council of Trustees of Sri Subramanya temple are reported to have agreed to hire over the whole plot of S.No 138/2 measuring 1 acre and 31 guntas assessed at Rs 1/-

NOTE-Contn Sheet

Serial No,.

to the Elyse Gyes, free of cost subject to the condition that the building constructed thereon, should be handed over to the control of the temple authorities. The Mugpri Commissioner is of the opinion that the Elyse Gyes should have absolute control over the building and the land in question as otherwise their position would not be better than in the case of the proposed work for which they have moved. He has therefore requested that the Madras H.R.E. Board may be ~~moved~~ to have after the building imposing such condition.

As the Gyes of Madurai have no objection to the Elyse Gyes negotiating with the temple authorities and obtaining from them the required property, it is for consideration if the Mugpri Commissioner may be asked to negotiate the transaction with the authorities of the temple, on behalf of the Elyse Gyes.

point (i) to order.

2. Further the legal aspect of the proposed transfer has to be considered. As this is a case of alienation of immovable property as contemplated in Section 76 of the Madras H.R.E. Act, the Board has to accord the necessary permission for the alienation contemplated. Even

re Bungalow at Hukke Subrahmanya &-

Revenue Secretariat — File No. 38 of 194 — [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

The Board accords the permission, it is pointed out that it may not be valid in a civil court as it can not be posited to be necessary and beneficial to the interests of the Temple as contemplated in the said Act. The Law Section may be requested to tender legal advice on this point if afforded.

BS 29/4/1941

2) The Legal adviser should first be consulted. The Advocate-General may be consulted thereafter.

30/4/1941

Yes.

30/4

3) Forwarded with compliments to the Advocate-General in Mysore for favour of remarks.

30/4/1941

4) Returned with compliments.

5) The latest edition of the Hindu Religious Endowments Act of Madras, along with the Rules and circulars if any, together with the papers relating to the scheme (referred to in letter No. Nil, dated 1-1-1941 from the Secretary, Sri Subrahmanya Mutt, Subrahmanya, S. Kanara, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, a -

copy

Point(ii) for orders

and delayed for 30/4/41

GENERAL SECTT. 30 APR 1941 3060

U.O No 26-40 1st May 1941

Secy. 772/40 Rev 1-5-41

No. Sec. 125-40 Rev 1-5-41

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Serial No.

copy of which is in the file) under which the Trustees of the Temple are functioning, may kindly be made available.

Advocate-General.

H/3.5.1941.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 5 MAY 1941

34 A chaser calling for a copy of the Scheme referred to, above is pushed. The Act with Rules will be obtained from the Govt. R.S. dirs.

BTS 5/5

35 5/5

2222 - [illegible]

The Mariyai Com- [illegible]

[illegible]

8

36 A copy of the latest edition of the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act is stated to, the Library to be not available. If admitted, the Library may be asked to obtain 2 copies of the Act for reference in the Secretariat - Mr. Rees

Office copy will be put [illegible]

BTS 14/5 Govt 38

37 5/5 Copy obtained from Govt

A chaser to the Assistant to the Mariyai Comm is pushed.

BT 15/5

TYPING SECTION 6 MAY 1941 GEN. & REV. SECTT.

Secs A 15/5

re Bungalow at Kakke Subramanya.

ral and Revenue Secretariat

ile No. 386 of 1938. [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

9

Letter No C 1595/37 35 dt 7.7.41 from The Managing Commissioner - Endorses a copy of the Scheme framed

37 A copy of the Madras Hindu Religious Endowments Act of 1927 (1926 Fasli) as amended by Madras Act XI of 1934 is enclosed. A copy of the Scheme as per decree of the High Court of Madras regarding the management of the Sri Subramanya-Swami temple at Subramanya, is also enclosed. The file may now be sent back to the Advocate-General for favour of furnishing his opinion

38 Reference : para 33 supra

PS 10/7 39 forwarded with compliments (Assistant Secretary) 2 Aug

U.O.No.1940-42 11th July 1941

Dos. 42/41-42 & 11/7/41

No. DO. 19/41-42 & 22-8-41

Returned with compliments.

40 Assuming, as I have got to do for lack of data in the file, that the title of the temple authoties to the new site is good, they cannot make a gift of it.

41 Even for a transfer for consideration, their powers are limited to grounds of necessity or benefit analogous to the power of a guardian of an infant or of a person under any other disability or to that of a manager of a joint Hindu family as in -

force

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

force in Mysore, where benefit also to the family will validate an alienation. It is a question of fact in each case depending on the local circumstances whether the particular alienation is for - necessity or benefit. I suppose that there may not be necessity for the temple authorities in the sense that they want money to relieve pressure of creditors. If that factor be eliminated, then the alienation has to be supported on the ground of - benefit. What advantage it will be to the temple to change the character of the property from land to cash is a matter on which I cannot give an opinion as there are not enough materials in the file.

I think, on the whole, the present proposal to accept a free gift of the land and to put a - structure is not safe.

Advocate-General.

H/22.8.1941.

GENERAL SECRETARY. 27 AUG. 1941

(1) The Advocate-General's views may be communicated to the Mysore Govt. In the circumstances the matter may be dropped

GENERAL SECRETARY. 28 AUG. 1941 1088

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR FINANCE & REVENUE 29 AUG. 1941 No. 1665

TYPING SECTION 6 SEP. 1941

(2) A draft reply to the M.C. is putup

Serial No.	
10	To the Chargé d'Affaires No 333 dated 9 1941 Forwards a copy of the legal opinion and requests that the matter may be dropped in the circumstances.

B 12/9/41

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 194

General Secre

Serial No.

Mug 38-38,

No. C.1398/37-38
S 2 & 1 fob.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, ...1st June.... *193* 9

FROM S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq, B.A,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

J. Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A.(Hon.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
....General.....*Department.*



9/6/39

SIR,

Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subrahmanya, South Kanara District, for the use of the Mysore State Officers.

Letter No.C.2350/37 dated 16-3-1938
" " 15-12-1938

In forwarding herewith, copies of the marginally noted letters together with their enclosures, in original, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, on the above subject, I have the honor to state that at present there is no accommodation at Subrahmanya for the officers of the Mysore Government, during their visit to that place My predecessor-in-office is stated to have opined, after his visit to the place, in December 1937, that the building contemplated to be handed over to the Mysore Government by the Temple Authorities was quite unsuited for occupation by higher officers visiting the place and that in such a place like Subrahmanya, where the Government of Mysore spends annually large grants of money, there is real necessity for a more decent building. The local officers have accordingly examined the question and have selected a plot of 1 acre and odd in S.No.121 which is reported to be situated close to the entrance to the village and which is sufficiently far-off therefrom, to ensure quietness during the bustle of the jatra. It is, further, stated that this site commands a very beautiful scenery of high hills and forests and that it is best suited from all points of view. The site is ascertained to be in the holding of the temple, and the Sub Division Officer states that the trustees not having any use for it, are likely to make it over to the Mysore Government, free of cost. The Deputy Commissioner requests that a reference may be made to the Government of Madras, in the matter.

The Deputy Commissioner also reports that as there is no indepen accommodation for the Taluk Sheristedar or the Amildar, when they go to supervise the Barahazar Santarpane, the building proposed to be placed at the disposal of the Mysore Government may be set apart for their exclusi use and a decent building at a cost of Rs 4,000 may be put up on the site proposed, the amount being met out of the sum of Rs 9,477-15-7, under the Barahazar Santarpane amounts as on 1st January 1939.

I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the proposal. I also request that a reference may be made to the Government of Madras, re. the making over to the Mysore Government, the site required for the purpose, free of cost.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K. M. Narasimhaiya

Assistant Commissioner
For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters)

No.C.2350/37-dated 16-3-38 from the Commissioner, Strict, to the Muzrai Commissioner in

---

Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subrahmanya, South Kanara District, for the use of the Mysore State Officers.

In forwarding herewith the records received from the Sub Division Officer, Saklespur, with his letter No.P.R.66-37 dated 12th February 1938 on the above subject, I have the honor to state as follows.

1. It is reported by the Sub Division Officer that the Muzrai Commissioner during his visit to Kukke Subrahmanya, in South Kanara District on the occasion of the last Champa Shasti, was pleased to state that the building contemplated to be handed over to Mysore Government by the temple authorities was quite unsuited for occupation by higher officers visiting the place and that in such a place like Subrahmanya where Government of Mysore spends annually large grants of money, there is real necessity for a more decemt building, which at times should be decent enough for the occupation of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, if occasion were to arise and that he was further pleased to instruct the Sub Division Officer to select a suitable site for the purpose and submit proposals in due course.

2. Accordingly, the Sub Division Officer has inspected new sites as described in the enclosed site-plans, with the Manjarabad Taluk Sheristedar, Agent of the Sri Subrahmanya Mutt and the local karnam. Of the two sites selected, one shown as cart-stand i.e., a portion of S.No.58 is reported to be unsuitable for the purpose owing to its low level. The other one i.e., a plot of 1 acre and odd in S.No.121 is reported to be situated just close to the entrance to the village besides being sufficiently far-off from village to ensure quietness during the bustle of the jatra, that it commands a very beautiful scenery of high hills and forests and that it is best suited from all points of view. The site is ascertained to be in the kx holdings of the temple and the Sub Division Officer states that the Trustees not having any use for it, are likely to make it over to Mysore Government, free of cost. A reference may kindly bem made to the Government of Madras in the matter.

3. As it is reported that there is no independent accommodation for the Taluk Sheristedar or the Amildar when they go to supervise the Barahazar Santarpane, the building proposed to be placed at the disposal of Mysore Government may as suggested by the Sub Division Officer be set

apart for their exclusive use and a decent building at a cost of Rs 40
may be put up on the site proposed. There is a balance of Rs 8976 as d

Balance on 1-1-38 in the funds of Barahazar Santarpane.

1. In cash book	...Rs 2676-3-3	tailed in the margin under Barahazar Santar-
2. 5% State Loan Bonds (1955) ...	...Rs 3300-0-0	
3. 3½% District Board Bonds (Mysore State)	...Rs 3000-0-0	pane Funds, as on
Total amount at credit	...Rs 8976-3-3	1-1-38, and this amount is likely

to increase every year due to savings. The cost of the proposed building may be met out of this surplus. The payment of money to the building contemplated to be handed over to Mysore Government by the Committee of Trustees, for which a separate correspondence is going on, is reported to be from out of the seva funds unpaid for services in previous years and not from Barahazar Santarpane Funds.

"Copy"

K-M. Narasimhaiya

For Muzrai Commissioner.

.2350-37.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 15th December 38

From

T. Ramaiya, Esq., B.A.,(Hons)
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

S. Abdul Majid, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subrahmanya .Canara District for the use of Mysore State Officers.

With reference to your letter No.1308-37 dated 31-3-38 on the bove subject, I have the honour to state that the site in S.Nos. 3/1 and 3/2 of asaba Subrahmanya is reported to be the one which the previous Trustee Mr.B. nantararasarma had propose to give to ysore Government for the construction of a Dharmasala in 1919 but that no legal document had been executed by him to show that the said site pas ed into the possession of Mysore Government. Mr.K.T. Achar, Trustee and Honorary Secretary, of the Temple has in his letter dated 27-8-38 addressed to the Amildar of Hanjarabad Taluk stated that a portion of this site has been utilised for building the present chattram for which the payment of Mysore Government contribution is still under correspondence. Even if it were available, I have found, on personal inspection during my recent visit that it would not have been suitable for the construction of the bungalow proposed owing to its close proximity to the temple and other living houses and the bustle of the jatra. On the other hand, the site proposed by Mr.N.Madhava Rao, the previous Sub Division Officer, the site plan of which was already sent to you with my previous letter dated 18-3-38 is best suited for constructing the Bungalow as it is far off from the village and affords sufficient quietness during the bustle of the jatra. The site commands further a very beautiful scenery of high hills and

and forests in front.

2. There is no independent accommodation for the Taluk Sheristedar or the Amildar when they go to Subrahmanya. The portion of the chattram building contemplated to be handed over to Mysore Government by the Committee of Trustees may, as suggested in the previous letter, be set apart for their exclusive use. The building is proposed in this office letter of 18-3-38 is quite essential, and sanction may kindly be obtained for its construction.

3. A copy of the letter of the Honorary Secretary of the Trustees referred to in para 1 together with the Sub Division Officer's report No.C.680-34 dated 12-9-38 is herewith enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

F G—1]

T.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 1 - 7 - 1939

Signed by Ms J A

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section Chief,

File No. 326.2.

Serial No. 2

No. Pol 5

To The Secretary to the Govt.

Sir,

present time is no accommodation at Subramanya for the Officers of the Court visiting the place for inspection purposes, and that the building which the Local Authorities have agreed to place at the disposal of the Court is quite unsuited for the purpose, being the Police Station, and ~~is not a fit place~~ like ~~Subramanya, where Pilgrims~~ attend annually ~~large crowds~~; in any case it is not fit for a ~~decent building~~. His Highness, &c., are therefore desirous of constructing a suitable building in the village for the accommodation of these Officers. The Local Officers of this Court have stated that no such accommodation exists at Subramanya, and it is understood that the Trustees of the Temple

G. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

not having any use for it, are likely to make it [illegible] to [illegible] for, [illegible]

In the circumstances I am to request that it may please [illegible] to use [illegible] Mines & to arrange for the transfer of this site to this Govt. free of cost.

I have etc

[illegible]
28/6

[illegible] Paul Haynes
28/6

GS
28/6

WD 0436—GPB—10,000—17-10-33

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 1st July 1939

No. Pol 5

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

Subject.

Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subrahmanya, South Kanara District for the use of the Mysore State Officers.

***

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No.Pol. 394/Luz 93-38-2 dated 28th September 1938 re - affording facilities to the Mysore Government Officers deputed for supervision in connection with the conduct of Barahazar Santarpane at Subrahmanya in South Kanara District and to state that at present there is no accommodation at Subrahmanya for the Officers of this Government visiting the place for supervision purposes, and that the building which the local temple authorities have agreed to place at the disposal of the Government is quite unsuited for the use of higher officers visiting the place. His Highness' Government are therefore desireus of constructing a suitable building in the village for the accommodation of these officers. The local officers of this Government have selected a plot of one acre and odd in Survey No.121 situated at the entrance to the village. This site is ascertained to be in the holding of the local Temple, and it is understood that the Trustees of the Temple not having any use for it, are likely to make it over to this Government free of cost.

In the circumstances, I am to request that the Government of

the transfer of this site to this Government free of cost.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

ACH.2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Memf

File No. 376-38

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. GP 500

To The Secy to the Resident

Sir ---- Governor's sanction for the subject Construction of a Bungalow in Kukke Subramanya South Canara for the use of the Collector State Officers

---- deputed thereto.

In this Office Letter No D 5 dated the 1.7.1939 with the subject and to enquire as to how the work stands at present.

I have &c.

for Secretary

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 3.10.39

Signed by Mr S K V

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20 7-31

Col 664

The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore, by designation and not by name.

Dis. No F 423-39 Pol.



FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, the 12th October 1939.

Acquisition of land by the Government of Mysore in the South Kanara District.

SIR,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. Pol.500/Muz.386-38 dated the 2/3rd October 1939.

2. The Government of Madras have written that the matter has been referred to the Collector of South Kanara and that a reply will be sent as soon as the Collector's report is received and considered by that Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to the Resident.

Pol 114 5
2
6 28

R. Dis. No. F. 423-39/Pol. Enc 1

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Muz 386-38-3

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Dated Bangalore, the 26th December *1939* .

GENERAL SECRETARY. 28 DEC. 1939

Acquisition of land by the Government of Mysore in the South Kanara District for the construction of a bungalow for Mysore State Officers.

Sir, Your letter Pol.5/Muz.386-38, dated the 1st July 1939.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to my letter R.Dis.No.F.423-39/Pol. dated the 12th October 1939.

2. I enclose a copy of letter from the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, No.3364, dated the 14th December 1939.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

vdv.

Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, No.3364, dated the 14th December 1939.

Land Acquisition - (South Kanara) - Puttur Taluk - Kukke Subrahmanya village - Site for construction of bungalow for Mysore State Officers.

With reference to your letter R.Dis.No.F.423-39/Pol., dated the 18th July 1939, I am directed to state that it is understood that the Officers of the Mysore Government originally contemplated the acquisition of a plot in R.S.Nos.138/2 and 138/3 (portions of old S.No.121) of Subrahmanya village, Puttur Taluk, South Kanara District, and that later the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan selected another site in S.No.86/7 of Subrahmanya village both sites belonging to the Sri Subrahmanya Temple. It is reported that the Temple authorities are willing to transfer to the Mysore Government free of charge either an acre of land in R.S.Nos.138/2 and 3 or the site in S.No.86/7 whichever the Mysore Government may prefer. I am directed to state that the Government of Madras have no objection to the Mysore Government negotiating with the temple authorities and obtaining from them either of the properties referred to subject to the payment to this Government of land revenue fixed on the land. It is presumed that the Mysore Government have satisfied themselves about the legal competency of the Temple authorities to alienate the land.

" C O P Y "

SUPERINTENDENT, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

vdv.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore. 6th January 1940

No. 1390 G. Revds.
Revg 386-38-4

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Acquisition of land by the Government of Mysore on the South Kanara District for construction of a bungalow for Mysore State Officers.

With reference to your letter No.C.1398/37-38 dated 2nd June 1939 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith for necessary action and report a copy of letter from the Government of Madras, Revenue Department No.3364 dated 14th December 1939 in the matter.

The enclosures to your letter are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for

For General Secretary to Government.

mk.

Letter from the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Revenue Department, No.3364, dated the 14th December 1939.

Land Acquisition - (South Kanara) - Puttur Taluk - Kukke Subrahmanya village - Site for construction of bungalow for Mysore State Officers.

With reference to your letter R.Dis.No.F.423-39/Pol., dated the 16th July 1939, I am directed to state that it is understood that the Officers of the Mysore Government originally contemplated the acquisition of a plot in R.S.Nos.138/2 and 138/3 (portions of old S.No.121) of Subrahmanya village, Puttur Taluk, South Kanara District, and that later the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan selected another site in S.No.86/7 of Subrahmanya village both sites belonging to the Sri Subrahmanya Temple. It is reported that the Temple authorities are willing to transfer to the Mysore Government free of charge either an acre of land in R.S.Nos.138/2 and 3 or the site in S.No.86/7 whichever the Mysore Government may prefer. I am directed to state that the Government of Madras have no objection to the Mysore Government negotiating with the temple authorities and obtaining from them either of the properties referred to subject to the payment to this Government of land revenue fixed on the land. It is presumed that the Mysore Government have satisfied themselves about the legal competency of the Temple authorities to alienate the land.

Copy

for Secretary to Govt.

T .....

.....

.....

Section Revenue

File No. 386-38

Serial No. 24

Diary No. of letter replied to ....975.....

No. 1390/Gen Revenue

To

The Revenue Officer

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Subject: Resumption of land held by the Revenue Authorities in the Fort St. Kanara for construction of Railway to Belgaum State Railway

Date of issue ....6-1-40....

Signed by .... for S. K. V.

With reference to your letter No C 1398/37-38 dated 2.6.1939 on the above subject, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Government Order No 3364 dated 16.12.39 in the matter.

The enclosures to your letter are returned herewith.

I have etc

for Secretary

List of enclosures .....4.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01956—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

GOVERNMENT HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 12 March 1940.

No. 1914 ಅಮು
ಅಮುಇ 326-39-6

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No. 1390 dated 6th January 1940, I am directed to forward herewith for favour of a report a petition dated 28th February 1940 from the Agent of Sri Subrahmanya Mutt at South Kanara, requesting that the Government may purchase a few buildings belonging to the Mutt for the use of officers and others visiting the place etc.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

HKR/2.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mus

File No. 389-38

Serial No.

No. 1914/Gen.

To

The Mus. Commr.
in Kegalle.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 Kegalle

Date of issue 12-3-40

Signed by Asst. S. K. V.

Sir. In Continuation of this Office letter No. 13790 36/1403 dated 8/2/1940 I am directed to forward herewith a petition of Sri Subrahmanya Devio of Kataragama requesting that the Govt. may grant him a few buildings belonging to the Govt for the use of officers touring visiting the place.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant

for Government Agent

List of enclosures .....

Copied by 4KK 12/3/40

Examined by .....

WD 0563—GPB—30,000—6 1 39

No. C.1398/37-38. OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Enc: Bills

Bangalore,... 27th March 1941

386-38-785

FROM S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
xMxxMMMxBAVxxRAVx,ESQ,xxxxxxBE.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENL. SECTT. 1 APR. 1941 1435 MUZRAI B

To

GENERAL OFFICE 31 MAR. 1941

Rao Bahadur Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A.(Hons.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
..... General ..... *Department*

SIR,

Re: the construction of a Sub-Station at Sri Subramanya, S.Canara, District, for the use of Mysore State officers.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.1390/Muz.560-38-4 dated 8th March 1941 on the above subject, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.2650/37 dated 5th February 1941, received from the Deputy Commissioner, District, together with a copy of letter dated 1st April 1941 from the Secretary, Sri Subramanya Mysore and to state that in the circumstances stated by the Deputy Commissioner in para 4 of his letter, the Bill item mentioned, may be moved to grant the sanction to the

[illegible] conditions.

[illegible] as to [illegible] where [illegible] agree- [illegible] determined [illegible] Government communi- [illegible].

[illegible] the [illegible] Commis- [illegible].

I [illegible] to be,

[illegible],

[illegible] ervant,

[signature]

[illegible]

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT..........

Section Mug

File No. 326, 38

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 1435

No. 2727/Gen Revenue

To

The Mysore Comr

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

With reference to corres-pondence ending with your letter No C/394/37-38 dated 1.4.41 regarding the construction of a Bungalow at Sri Subramanya in South Canara District, for the use of the Mysore State Officers. I am directed to invite reference to letter dated 1.1.1941 (a copy of which was sent with your letter under advertance) from the Secy of the Sri Subramanya Mutt, Subramanya

Date of issue 7-5-41

Signed by [illegible]

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by..

addressed to you in the matter
wherein it is stated that the manage-
ment of the Sri Subramanya Temple
is conducted by a body of the
Trustees known as Council of
Trustees as per Scheme decreed
by Court and that the Trustees
are appointed by the Endowments
Board once in five years according
to the Court Scheme, and
to request you to be so good
as to obtain and furnish
a copy of the Scheme referred
to, further in pursuance of your
The learned said that the
latter under advertence are
herewith returned for reference
and return herewith

Yours &c

for [illegible]

for Paul Perry Bros
[illegible]
5/5

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat.

2727 Ex Revds

Dated, Bangalore, 7 May 1941.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C. 1398/37-38 dated 1st April 1941 regarding the construction of a -- Bungalow at Sri Subramanya in South Canara District, for the use of the Mysore State Officers, I am directed to invite reference to letter dated 1st January 1941 (a copy of which was received with your letter under advertance) from the Secretary of the Sri Subramanya Mutt, Subramanya addressed to you in the matter wherein it is stated that the management of the Sri Subramanya Temple is conducted by a body of five trustees known as Council of Trustees as per scheme decreed by -- Court and that the Trustees are appointed by the Endowments Board once in five years according to the Court scheme, and to request you to be so good as to obtain and furnish a copy of the scheme referred to, for the information of Government.

The records received with the letter under advertance are herewith returned for reference and retransmission.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
General Department.

BSN/2.

C.1338/37-38. OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Encl: Copies of Judgement (8 sheets)

Bangalore, 7th July 1941.

FROM Rukn-ul-Mulk
S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
~~X.V. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.



To

J. Appaji Gowda, Esq.,B.A.(Hons.),
Secretary to Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department,

muz 386-38-9.



SIR,

Construction of a Bungalow at Subrahmanya, S.Canara District, for the use of Mysore Government officers.

As desired in your letter No.2727/Muz.386-38-8 dated 7th May 1941, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Scheme as per decree of the High Court of Madras, regarding the management of the Sri Subrahmanyaswami Temple at Subrahmanya, S.Canara District.

2) The connected file of papers received with your letter is enclosed herein for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

BRN.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. 333 Genl & Revenue.
Agrl/346-38-10

Dated, Bangalore, ...8.Septr. 41.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
General Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.C. 1398/37-38 dated 7th July 1941 regarding the construction of a bungalow at Subramanya in South Canara District for the use of the Mysore Government Officers, I am directed to forward herewith copy of the legal opinion in the matter and to state that in the circumstances the question may be dropped.

The enclosures received with the correspondence are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For General Secretary to Government.

bnl.2

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section U/C

File No. -6

Serial No 10

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1435.....

No. 20333/1 Genl & Revenue.

To

The Musgrave Centre

Port Elizabeth.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue. 8-9-41

Signed by Offg S. K. V.

With reference to Colleges correspondence ending with your No C 139E/37 dated 7.7.41, regarding the withdrawal of a bursary awarded to Miss Fanny Edmonds, the Administrator has been pleased to forward herewith a copy of the Legal opinion on the matter and to state that in the circumstances the question of the cancellation will be dropped.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 03695 -GPR—30,000—29 / 10

The enclosures read with
the correspondence are herewith
returned.

Yours ever

for 6/9/43

Fr Joseph Fox
OSB
Obl